

BOARD OF ETHICS

BOARD OF ETHICS MEETING OPEN SESSION MINUTES MAY 12, 2025, MONDAY-3:13 P.M. 740 NORTH SEDGWICK, SUITE 500

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

William F. Conlon, Chair
Hon. Bernetta Bush (*Ret.*)
David L. Daskal
Sarah Jin
Norma Manjarrez
Hon. Barbara McDonald (*Ret.*)

ABSENT

Ryan Cortazar

STAFF PRESENT

Steven I. Berlin, Executive Director
Lauren Maniatis, Staff Attorney
Paully Casillas, Staff Assistant

GUESTS ATTENDING

Heather Cherone, WTTW
Peter Czosnyka
Sarah Whitecotton, Office of Inspector General
A.D. Quiq, Chicago Tribune
Daniel Wolk, Chicago Solutions Forum

The meeting was convened and conducted in person and through the use of the Zoom remote video and audio meeting platform.

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Board VOTED 5-0 (Ryan Cortazar and Norma Manjarrez, absent) to approve the Open Session Minutes of the April 14, 2025 meeting.

II. CHAIR'S REPORT

The Chair announced that this would be Board members' David Daskal's and Barbara McDonald's last meeting, as the Mayor has nominated two new Board members to replace them. On behalf of all Board members with whom David and Barbara have worked, the Chair thanked them for their superb service to the Board and the City and said they will be truly missed.

III. MEMBERS' REPORTS

None

IV. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

A. Board Members

On April 30, I was informed that the Mayor had nominated Paul Berks and Cindy Medina-Cervantes as Board members, to replace David Daskal and The Honorable Barbara McDonald (Ret.), whose terms expired on July 31, 2024. Both are attorneys; Mr. Berks is a Partner in the firm of Massey and Gail, LLC, and Ms. Medina-Cervantes is a Senior Associate in the Chicago office of Clyde & Co. US, LLP. I accompanied them to the meeting of the City Council's Committee on Ethics and Government Oversight on May 5, where their nominations were made by direct introduction, and unanimously approved. Their names will be submitted to the full Council at its May 21 meeting. If the Council votes to confirm them, they will become Board members, and their first meeting will be in June. We look forward to working with them.

As this is most likely David's and Barbara's last meeting, on behalf of a truly grateful staff, I wish to thank them for their years of dedicated service to the Board and to the citizens of Chicago. They have left a lasting legacy, which we greatly appreciate.

Note that two other members' terms expire on July 31, 2025: Chair William Conlon's and Norma Manjarrez's. I urge the Mayor to act swiftly to re-appoint them.

B. Staff Update

I am thrilled to report that Lauren Maniatis, currently serving as our Staff Attorney/Investigator, has been approved to be the Board's Deputy Director. Lauren is an outstanding attorney and dedicated Board staff member. She richly deserves this promotion. The Board will thus be hiring to replace Lauren's position, the Legal Counsel position, and the Special Projects Coordinator position.

C. Green Social Housing Ordinance

Several weeks ago, the Law Department and Mayor's Office requested our assistance in revising the original "Green Social Housing Ordinance" submitted to the City Council's Finance and Housing and Real Estate Committees, specifically with respect to the conflicts of interests provisions. Board legal staff worked closely with them on revisions, to address concerns raised by some City Council members and the Office of Inspector General. On May 7, I was a witness before a joint committee meeting. The substitute ordinance passed through these committees, and then, shortly thereafter, was enacted by the full City Council. We are pleased to have been able to assist. The ordinance passed into law is here: <https://occprodstoragev1.blob.core.usgovcloudapi.net/matterattachmentspublic/f810a86d-5f42-4cc8-9225-c15b2676b58b.pdf>

D. Statements of Financial Interests

On March 1, we notified all 2025 filers of their requirement to file 2025 Statements of Financial Interests; we sent out 3,925 notices. The filing deadline was before May 2. All but 116 individuals filed before the deadline. As required by law, we notified all of them; they have seven (7) days to present a valid reason for failing to meet the deadline. If they do not present a valid reason, they will be held to have violated the law, and fined \$250 per day until they file, beginning May 20. I want also to report, unfortunately, that seven (7) City Council members did not file by the deadline; just two (2) of them have filed since the deadline. This is despite our office having sent repeated notices of their filing requirement. Note that §2-156-465 provides that "any official ... who knowingly fails to file a statement within the time presanction in this chapter ... shall be subject to removal from office."

All forms filed in 2018 and after are posted on our website and viewable here, where they stay for seven (7) years after they are filed: <https://webapps1.chicago.gov/efis/search>

E. Sister Agencies

We will meet next with our ethics counterparts from the Cook County Board of Ethics, CTA, CHA, CPS, City Colleges, Park District, Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, and RTA, in July.

F. Education

Mandatory Online Training

In early March we released the all-new online training for lobbyists, and last week, the all-new 2025 training for employees and elected officials. The all-new 2025 training for appointed officials will be released soon. To date, 355 lobbyists and 19,100 employees and officials have completed their training.

Mandatory In-person Classes and other presentations

In-person classes began again in May 2024 for those City officials and employees required to attend them once every four years (about 3,400). To date, approximately 2,500 City employees and employees have attended. We held classes on April 30 and May 1, and 7, and will hold more on May 14, 15, 21, 22, 28 and 29, and June 11. We conducted a class for employees in the Committee on Immigrant and Refugee Rights on April 16, another for Departments of BACP and DFSS on May 2, and will conduct others on June 2 for CPD and CFD personnel, and on June 4 for the Department of Law, and on September 2 for personnel from the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA). We will likely add even more, in addition to the regularly scheduled classes, the schedule of which is here: <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/memos/2025%20TRAINING%20SCH.pdf>.

G. Advisory Opinions

Since the Board's April meeting, we have issued 371 informal advisory opinions. The leading categories for informal opinions were, in descending order: Travel; Gifts; Statements of Financial Interests; Lobbying; City Property; Post-employment; Outside Employment; Financial Interest in City Business; and Conflicts of Interest.

The leading City departments from which requesters came in this period were, in descending order: City Council; Chicago Police Department/Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA)/Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability (CCPSA); Mayor's Office; Department of Public Health; Department of Aviation; Department of Family and Support Services; Chicago Fire Department; Office of Inspector General; Department of Law; and Department of Technology and Innovation.

80% of all inquiries came from City employees or elected officials; the remainder came from attorneys, vendors, lobbyists or potential lobbyists.

Please note also that we continue to receive significant numbers of complaints from members of the public: since the last Board meeting, we have received 15.

Informal opinions are confidential and not made public, but are logged, kept, and used for training and future advisory purposes. This same practice occurs with our colleagues at the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board, who issue roughly the same number of informal opinions. They form the basis for much of our annual and periodic educational programs. Formal opinions are made public, in full text, with names and other identifying information redacted out. In the past five (5) years, the Board has issued 70 formal opinions.

H. Summary Index of Formal Advisory Opinions/Text of all Formal Advisory Opinions

The full text of every formal Board opinion issued since 1986 is posted on the Board's website (more than 922), redacted in accordance with the Ordinance's confidentiality provisions, here: https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/ethics/auto_generated/reg_archives.html.

Redacted formal opinions are posted once issued or approved by the Board. Summaries and keywords for each of these opinions—and a link to each opinion's text, which we added since the August Board meeting—are available on the Board's searchable index of opinions, here: <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/Publications/AOindex.docx>.

A few other ethics agencies have comparable research tools. We are unaware of jurisdictions that make their *informal* opinions public — though, like us, others issue them confidentially and enable requesters to rely on them in the event of an investigation or enforcement.

I. Lobbyists Filings

762 individuals are currently registered with our office to lobby City employees/officials. We have collected \$320,675 in 2025 lobbyist registration fees. These numbers are substantially greater than our last report in February, when 654 lobbyists were registered, and we had collected \$260,900 in 2025 registration fees.

First Quarter 2025 lobbying activity reports were due before April 22. All lobbyists who failed to file by then were sent written notice via first class and certified and email. To date, seven (7) still haven't filed; if they do not file before May 13, they will be found in violation of the law and fined \$250 per day until they file.

Note that, in contrast, the Ordinance provides fines of \$1,000 per day for any individual who has reached either the quarterly hourly or compensation/expenditure threshold that triggers the requirement to register as a lobbyist but then fails to register as required within five (5) City business days of reaching that threshold. Fines begin on the sixth City business day until the person registers. These fines are not capped.

On May 8, we posted a current list of registered lobbyists and their clients here: <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/LobbyistStuff/LISTS/lobbyistlist.xls> Lobbyists' filings dating back to 2014 can be examined here: https://webapps1.chicago.gov/elf/public_search.html.

J. Waivers

Since July 1, 2013, the Board has had authority to grant waivers from certain provisions in the Ethics Ordinance. The Board has granted eleven (11) and denied three (3) waiver requests. In

accordance with the law, all granted waivers are posted here: https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/ethics/supp_info/Waivers.html.

K. Summary Index of Board-Initiated Regulatory Actions/Adjudications/pre-2013 Investigations

We post a summary index of all investigations, enforcement and regulatory actions undertaken by the Board since its inception in 1986 (other than those for violations of filing or training requirements or campaign financing matters). It includes an ongoing summary of all regulatory actions the Board undertook without an IG investigation, based on probable cause findings the Board makes as a result of its review of publicly available information, where no factual investigation by the IG is necessary. See <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/EnforcementMatters/Invest-Index.pdf>

There are no such matters currently pending.

The Board makes public the names of all violators and penalties it assesses when authorized by law to do so. But only in those that occurred after July 1, 2013, can the Board release the names of those found to have violated the Ordinance. Since July 1, 2013, there have been nearly 90 such matters.

L. Summary Index of Ongoing/Past IG/LIG Investigations/Adjudications

There are currently ten (10) completed IG ethics investigations in various stages of the adjudicative process. More information on these cases is posted here: <https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/ethics/provdrs/reg/svcs/ongoing-summary-of-enforcement-matters.html>.

In the first, 23045.IG, a confidential administrative hearing pursuant to §2-156-392 has been underway. The City is represented by the law firm Hinshaw & Culbertson, and the matter is before ALJ Frank Lombardo. The Board is pursuing a \$20,000 fine.

In the second and third, 23054.IG and 23055.IG, the Board found probable cause at its November 2023 meeting. The Board met in February with the subject's attorney. After that meeting, the Board requested further clarification from the IG, received that clarification, and presented it to the subject's counsel. The Board found 12 violations in 23054.IG and voted to pursue a \$60,000 fine (at its April 2024 meeting). In 23055.IG, at its May 2024 meeting the Board found two (2) violations, and voted to pursue the maximum penalty of \$5,000 per violation. The subject made offers to settle both matters, which the Board rejected. At its June meeting, the Board voted to proceed with a confidential administrative hearing on both matters. The City is represented by Anthony Masciopinto, from the firm of Kulwin, Masciopinto and Kulwin. ALJ Frank Lombardo has been assigned to both matters.

In the fourth, 23067.IG.1, .2, .3, and .4, the IG delivered its completed investigation to the Board on December 30, 2023. The matter involves four (4) employees from the same City department (one of whom is now retired). The IG concluded that one of them had a prohibited financial interest in City contracts, and that two of the others knew of this violation but failed to report it to the IG as required by §2-156-018(a). At its January 2024 meeting, the Board voted to refer the matter back to the IG, because the evidence adduced in the IG's investigation appears to show that the *fourth* employee from the same department also violated §2-156-018(a) by failing to report the violation to the IG. The Board requested that the IG review its investigation, and if appropriate,

petition the Board for a probable cause finding with respect to that fourth employee. The IG reviewed the matter and then petitioned the Board for a probable cause finding with respect to all four (4) employees; the Board found probable cause as to each subject. One (1) met with the Board in June 2024, another in July 2024; and a third in September 2024. At the Board's October 21, 2024 meeting, it found all four (4) individuals in violation of the Ordinance and assessed a total of \$28,500 in fines. Two (2) agreed to pay their fines (in case .01 and .03). The agreements in .01 and .03 are posted on our website. The Board referred cases .02 and .04 to the Law Department for confidential administrative hearings to collect the fines assessed. The Law Department declined to file charges in .04. As to Case No. 23067.IG.02, ALJ Frank Lombardo has been assigned to hear the matter.

In the fifth, 24003.IG, the IG delivered its completed investigation to the Board on February 2, 2024. The matter involves an investigation into the deletion of comments from an elected official's official social media account. The Board requested and received clarification from the IG on certain factual issues; at its May 2024 meeting the Board voted to seek further clarification from the IG based on the factors set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Lindke v. Freed*: https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/22-611_ap6c.pdf. The IG responded, and the matter has been continued, pending guidance from the federal courts regarding the interpretation of *Lindke*.

In the sixth, Case No. 24020.IG, a completed investigation was delivered to the Board on August 27, 2024. The IG concluded that a now-former City employee failed to disclose, on Statements of Financial Interests filed for years 2019-2021, that they had a financial interest in real estate located in the City in four (4) instances. The subject never responded to the Board's repeated notices, first of its probable cause finding; then of its finding that the subject violated the Ordinance and is subject to \$12,000 in fines—the maximum fines for these years—until January 13, after the Board had voted to refer the matter to the Law Department for a confidential hearing to enforce its determination. ALJ Frank Lombardo has been assigned to the matter. The Board will hear a report on this matter's status in Closed session, including settlement discussions between the subject and Law Department.

In the seventh, Case No. 24025.IG, a completed investigation was delivered to the Board on December 2. The IG concluded that a City official misused their City title and authority in a dispute with a private business. The Board voted to refer the matter back to the IG for further investigation. The IG responded on April 8, 2025. The matter is on today's agenda for the Board to consider a probable cause finding.

In the eighth, Case No. 24027.IG, the IG delivered a completed investigation to the Board on December 23, 2024, with a request that the Board find probable cause. It involves an employee who owns a company that was a listed subcontractor on a City contract, and which received money through that subcontract, in apparent violation of the Ordinance's prohibition on having a financial interest in a City contract, work or business, and the subject's failure to disclose ownership of that company on their filed Statements of Financial Interests. The subject and their counsel met with the Board at the April meeting, and the Board determined that the subject violated the Ordinance numerous times and assessed total fines of \$69,000. The subject has offered to settle the matter for a lesser fine; it is on today's meeting in Closed session.

In the ninth, Case No. 25006.IG, the IG delivered a completed investigation to the Board on March 11, 2025, with a request that the Board find probable cause. It involves a former employee who, the IG found, has assisted a post-City employer on the very same matters that in which the employee participated personally and substantially while a City employee. The Board voted to find probable cause at the April meeting; the subject will meet with the Board at its June meeting to attempt to rebut the finding.

In the tenth, Case No. 25007.IG, the IG delivered a completed investigation to the Board on March 11, 2025, with a request that the Board find probable cause. It involves a former employee who, the IG found, failed to disclose outside income in excess of \$1,000 on Statements of Financial Interests filed in 2023 and 2024 (covering 2022 and 2023, respectively). The Board voted to find probable cause at the April meeting. The subject is meeting with the Board in Closed session today to attempt to rebut the finding.

More complete summaries of these and all IG cases are available on our website, subject to the Ordinance's confidentiality requirements. We post on our website and continually update an ongoing investigative record showing the status of every completed investigation brought to the Board by both the IG since July 1, 2013, and the former Office of the Legislative Inspector General ("LIG"), since January 1, 2012, and the status of all 50 petitions to commence investigations presented to the Board by the LIG. We update this record as appropriate, consistent with the Ordinance's confidentiality provisions. See <https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/ethics/provdrs/reg/svcs/ongoing-summary-of-enforcement-matters.html> and <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/EnforcementMatters/PublicScorecard.pdf>.

Whenever the IG presents the Board with a completed ethics investigation in which the IG believes there have been violations of the Governmental Ethics Ordinance, the procedure that follows is governed by §2-156-385 of the Ordinance: the Board reviews the IG's report, recommendations, and the entirety of the evidence submitted in its completed investigation, including a review to ensure that the IG conformed with the requirement that it complete ethics investigations within two (2) years of commencing them (unless there is evidence that the subject took affirmative action to conceal evidence or delay the investigation), and that the ethics investigation was commenced within five (5) years of the last alleged act of misconduct.

If the Board finds that the evidence presented warrants a finding of probable cause to believe the subject violated the Ordinance, it notifies the subject of the allegations and affords the subject the opportunity to present written submissions and meet with the Board, together with an attorney or other representative present. The Ordinance provides that this meeting is *ex parte* – no one from the City's Law Department or IG is present. Note that the Board may also request clarification from the IG as to any evidence found in its investigation before making a probable cause finding or refer the matter back to the IG for further investigation (and has done so). The Board cannot administer oaths at this meeting but can and does assess the subject's credibility and the validity and weight of any evidence the subject provides.

If the subject does not rebut the Board's probable cause finding, the Board may enter into a public settlement agreement – or may find there was a violation and proceed to a hearing on the merits that is not open to the public. That hearing is held before an administrative law judge (ALJ) appointed by the Department of Administrative Hearings. The City would be represented by the Law Department (or a specially hired Assistant Corporation Counsel for that purpose), and the subject by their attorney. At the conclusion of that hearing, the ALJ submits findings of fact and law to the Board, which can accept or reject them, based solely on the written record of the hearing. The Board will then publicly issue an opinion in which it may find violations of the Ethics Ordinance and impose appropriate fines, or find no violation and dismiss the matter.

These processes are based on specific recommendations of then-Mayor Emanuel's Ethics Reform Task Force in Part II of its 2012 Report—the primary purposes being to: (i) guarantee due process for all those investigated by the IG; (ii) ensure that only the Board of Ethics could make determinations as to whether a person investigated by the IG violated the Ordinance, given the Board's extensive jurisprudence and unique expertise in ethics matters; and (iii) balance due process for those investigated by the IG with an accurate adjudication by the Board and the public's right to know of ethics violations.

On our website, we have a publication describing this process in detail: <https://www.chicago.gov/content/dam/city/depts/ethics/general/Publications/EnforceProcedures.pdf>.

Note: fines range from \$500-\$2,000 per violation for non-lobbying or non-campaign financing violations that occurred before September 29, 2019, and \$1,000-\$5,000 per violation for such violations occurring between September 29, 2019, and September 30, 2022. For violations occurring on or after October 1, 2022, the fine range is between \$500 and \$20,000 per violation, and the Board may also assess a fine equal to any ill-gotten financial gains as a result of any Ordinance violation. Fines for unregistered lobbying violations remain at \$1,000 per day beginning on the fifth day after the individual first engaged in lobbying and continuing until the individual registers as a lobbyist.

Please note, finally, that, in all matters adjudicated or settled on or after July 1, 2013, the Board makes public the names of all violators and penalties assessed, or a complete copy of the settlement agreement. All settlement agreements are posted here: <https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/ethics/provdrs/reg/svcs/SettlementAgreements.html>

M. Disclosures of Past Violations

July 2013 amendments to the Ordinance provide that, when a person seeks advice from the Board about past conduct and discloses to the Board facts leading it to conclude that they committed a past violation of the Ordinance, the Board must determine whether that violation was minor or non-minor. If it was minor, the Board, by law, sends the person a confidential letter of admonition. If it was non-minor, then, under current law, the person is advised that they may self-report to the IG or, if he or she fails to do so within two (2) weeks, the Board must make that report. In 12 matters, the Board has determined that minor violations occurred, and the Board sent confidential letters of admonition, as required by the Ordinance. These letters are posted on the Board's website, with confidential information redacted.

N. Open Meetings Act/FOIA Challenges

The Board is currently involved in five (5) challenges filed with the Illinois Attorney General all filed by the same individual. These challenges request:

- (1) A review of the propriety of adjourning into executive session during the Board's September 11, 2023 meeting under the Open Meetings Act ("OMA");
- (2) A review of the propriety of adjourning into executive session during the Board's August 14 and September 11, 2023 meetings under OMA;
- (3) A review of the Board not producing certain records pursuant to FOIA;
- (4) A review of the propriety of the Board's method of taking final action at its April 15, 2024 and May 13, 2024 meetings.
- (5) A review of the Board's proceedings in both Open and Executive Session as to Case No. 24019.Q, at its September 30, 2024 meeting.

The Board has worked with the Law Department and responded to each.

On May 1, the PAC issued a letter resolving a sixth challenge to the Board's adjournment into executive session during the Board's July 18, 2022 meeting under the OMA. The matter is 2022 PAC 73498. The PAC requested that the Board make ~ 23 minutes of that session available to the public. This matter is on today's agenda.

O. Freedom of Information Act

Since the April 14 Board meeting, the Board has received three (3) FOIA requests:

The first was for records from the last eight (8) years of inspections, violations, complaints or judgments with respect to elevators at a residential building. We advised that we are the wrong department and have no responsive records.

The second was for the recording and text of 23 minutes from the closed session of Board's meeting of July 18, 2022, from the individual whose challenge resulted in the letter in 2022 PAC 73498. The Board discussed amendments to the Ordinance that were pending before the City Council. The records were supplied.

The third was for emails and other correspondence between the requestor (a citizen), the Chair, the Executive Director, and the OIG regarding a complaint the requestor filed with the Board, which the Board then referred to the OIG -- particularly for proof that the complaint was so referred. The responsive emails were supplied.

V. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

VI. OLD BUSINESS

None

VII. NEW BUSINESS

None

VIII. PRIOR BOARD MEETING'S EXECUTIVE SESSION MINUTES

This matter shall be discussed in the Executive Session.

At 3:23 p.m., the Board VOTED 5-0 (Ryan Cortazar and Norma Manjarrez, absent) to adjourn into Executive Session under: (i) 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(1) to discuss the appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees, specific individuals who serve as independent contractors in a park, recreational, or educational setting, or specific volunteers of the public body or legal counsel for the public body, including hearing testimony on a complaint lodged against an employee, a specific individual who serves as an independent contractor in a park, recreational, or educational setting, or a volunteer of the public body or against legal counsel for the public body to determine its validity. However, a meeting to

consider an increase in compensation to a specific employee of a public body that is subject to the Local Government Wage Increase Transparency Act may not be closed and shall be open to the public and posted and held in accordance with this Act; (ii) 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(4) to hear and discuss evidence or testimony in closed hearing as specifically authorized pursuant to Governmental Ethics Ordinance Sections 2-156-385 and -392, and the Board's Rules and Regulations, as amended, effective January 5, 2017, presented to a quasi-adjudicative body, as defined in the Illinois Open Meetings Act, provided that the body prepares and makes available for public inspection a written decision setting forth its determinative reasoning; and (iii) 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(21) to discuss minutes of meetings lawfully closed under this Act, whether for purposes of approval by the body of the minutes or semi-annual review of the minutes as mandated by Section 2.06.

At 3:49 p.m., Norma Manjarrez joined the meeting.

At 4:32 p.m. the Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to reconvene in Open Session.

IX. MATTERS CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD IN EXECUTIVE SESSION

I. APPROVAL OF THE EXECUTIVE SESSION MINUTES

The Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to approve the Executive Session Minutes for the April 14, 2025 meeting

II. OLD BUSINESS

None

III. NEW BUSINESS

None

IV. CASEWORK

A. Meeting with Subject pursuant to §2-156-385(4) after Finding of Probable Cause after Receiving Completed Office of the Inspector General Investigation

1. Case No. 25007.IG, Statements of Financial Interests

The Board, having met the subject, VOTED 5-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent, and Norma Manjarrez, absent for this matter) to find that the subject committed two (2) violations for knowingly failing to disclose outside, non-City income on Statements of Financial Interests filed in 2023 and 2024, and to impose the minimum fine of \$500 for each violation, and to direct staff to inform the subject.

B. Consideration of Finding of Probable Cause

2. Case No. 24025.IG, Fiduciary Duty; Unauthorized Use of City Property

The Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to find that there is probable cause to conclude that the subject, a City elected official, violated two provisions of the Ordinance,

namely Fiduciary Duty and Unauthorized Use of City Property, and to direct the staff to send formal notification to the subject, informing the subject of the right to meet with the Board to attempt to rebut the finding.

C. Status after Finding of Probable Cause

3. Case No. 25006.IG, Post Employment

The Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to continue this matter to the June 2025 meeting.

D. Status After Finding of Violation

4. Case No. 24027.IG, Financial Interest in City Business, Statements of Financial Interests

The Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to continue this matter to the June 2025 meeting.

5. Case No. 24020.IG, Yanira Doyle, Statements of Financial Interests

The Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to continue this matter to the June 2025 meeting.

IV. OTHER BUSINESS

The Board voted 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to follow the recommendation of the Illinois Attorney General, which informed the Board that in 2022 PAC 73498, it recommended that the Board make available to the public ~23 minutes of the verbatim recording of the Closed Session from the Board's July 18, 2022 meeting, and to follow the advice of the Law Department as to how to make this material public.

At 4:50 p.m., the Board VOTED 6-0 (Ryan Cortazar, absent) to adjourn the meeting.